



## Event Outcome Report

### Summary of Events (Month-wise)

S.No.	*Type of Event		Name of Event (Latest first)	Date (from-to) (YYYY/ MM/DD)	Duration (days)	Pg. No. (from-to)
	Category	Metric No.				
1	Workshop		Workshop on “Beyond Chat: Building Eval-Driven AI Agents”	2025/11/15		

\* **Type of Events-** Please categorize as per the applicable NAAC AQAR Metric No. (AQAR manual attached for reference)

**Category** would also include Conferences, Seminars, FDP, MDP, SDP, Guest Lectures, Webinars, Industrial Visits, and any other events, with their respective metric no.

## Event Outcome Report

1. **Topic/ Title of Event:** Workshop on “Beyond Chat: Building Eval-Driven AI Agents”

2. **Type of Event:** (*Annex* the brochure/ information manual) (tick  the appropriate box)

Conference	Seminar	FDP	SDP	Others, Specify	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Guest Lecture	Webinar	MDP	Industrial Visit		

Others, Specify: Workshop

3. **Date/ Duration of Event Date:** (YYYY/ MM/DD) to (YYYY/ MM/DD): 2025/11/15 01 days

4. **Mode** (tick ):

Online	Offline	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Blended
--------	---------	-------------------------------------	---------

5. **Collaboration, if any.**

Name of Organisation	SIU	Outside SIU
Sponsorship, if any	SITH, SITH IEEE, AESS, IEEE Education society, IEEE ComSoc, IEEE India Council, IEEE VTS, IEEE Computer Society, IEEE Signal Processing Society, IEEE TEMS, IEEE MTT-S, IEEE Electronics Packaging Society, IEEE PRO COMM, IEEE Students, IEEE IES, IEEE CAS, IEEE COMSOC STEM, IEEE Foundation, IEEE Collabratec, IEEE Puzzlers	

6. **Objective of Event:**

- a. The explaining the limitations of conventional chatbots.
- b. highlighted how eval-driven frameworks introduce adaptability, accountability, and measurable performance into AI systems.
- c. Microsoft’s approach to building agents that integrate multimodal inputs, contextual reasoning, and self-evaluation mechanisms, enabling them to act as collaborative problem-solvers rather than passive responders.

7. **Event contributing to SDGs (Global/ National/ Regional/ Local requirement). Yes/ No**

*If Yes, Specify the SDG No. and event contribution in 50 words max.*

*No*

8. **Event usefulness leading to - Employability/ Entrepreneurship/ Skill Development/ IPR/ Innovation/ Professional Ethics/ Gender/ Environment etc.** **Yes/ No**

*If Yes, Specify in 50 words max.*

*Yes*

In the growing AI driven world this workshop shows the major evolution trend in chatbot systems which is the eval-driven AI framework which in turn will help with the students understanding towards this sector of research and development.

9. **Name of Faculty Coordinators:** Mr. SAI PRASHANTH MALLELLU Advisor IEEE SIT Hyderabad Student Branch

10. **Resource Persons:** *Provide brief profile of resource person(s) in Brochure/ Information Manual*

S.No.	Name and Designation	Organization	Contact (Email & Ph. No.)
1	Mr. Tezan	Software Engineer 2 at Microsoft and Best-Selling Author	

## 11. Total Number of Participants:

Students	Faculty	Staff	Total Participants

### a. Student Attendance (*Annex attendance details in the below-given format*)

S.No.	Name of Student	PRN	Program & Sem	Signature (Yes/No) in case of online)

### b. Faculty/ Staff Attendance (*Annex attendance details in the below-given format*)

S.No.	Name Faculty/ Staff	Department	Signature (Yes/No) in case of online)

## 12. Programme Schedule (Minute to Minute)

### 13. Description of Event (max. 250 words)

The session focused on moving past traditional conversational AI systems to explore the design and development of evaluation-driven agents—AI models that can not only respond intelligently but also assess, refine, and improve their own outputs through structured feedback loops. Mr. Tezan began by explaining the limitations of conventional chatbots, which often rely on static responses, and highlighted how eval-driven frameworks introduce adaptability, accountability, and measurable performance into AI systems. He shared insights into Microsoft’s approach to building agents that integrate multimodal inputs, contextual reasoning, and self-evaluation mechanisms, enabling them to act as collaborative problem-solvers rather than passive responders. Drawing from his experience as both an engineer and author, he emphasized the importance of combining technical rigor with creative storytelling to make AI systems more relatable and impactful.

The workshop included practical demonstrations where students learned how to design evaluation metrics, integrate them into agent workflows, and test applications in real-world scenarios such as customer support, education, and enterprise automation. Interactive discussions encouraged participants to think critically about the ethical dimensions of autonomous agents, the role of transparency in AI decision-making, and the career opportunities emerging in this rapidly evolving field. By the end of the session, students gained not only technical knowledge but also a broader vision of how eval-driven AI agents represent the next frontier in artificial intelligence, inspiring them to innovate responsibly and ambitiously in their future projects.

### 14. Feedback Analysis (preferably create a graphical representation):

Tick (√) to Scale on 1-10 (1 – unsatisfactory and 10 – exceptional)

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
<b>Overall Satisfaction</b>										√
<b>Usefulness of Event</b>										√
<b>Resource Persons</b>										√
<b>Quality of Content</b>										√
<b>Ease in attending (Offline/ Online/ Blended)</b>										√
<b>Support at Event (Organizing team feedback)</b>									√	
<b>Accommodation (if availed)</b>										
<b>Handouts/ Study Material (if provided)</b>										

Suggestions, if any \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_


**15. Details of Achieved Outcomes** (Whether the objectives were achieved and an inclusive environment was created?) (max 50 words)

The objective of stating the difference between conventional chatbot and eval-driven AI agents and also delivering the Microsoft's approach to building agents that integrate multimodal inputs, contextual reasoning and self-evaluation mechanism to the students was done with stating real time case studies by the resource person.

**16. Photographs/ Press Note/ Media Coverage:**

*Note:* Max 2-4 geotagged-pics (please follow geo tagging guidelines issued by SIU)



Signature & Name (QIC Coordinator)		Signature, Name & Seal (Director of Institute)
		 <b>Director</b> <b>Symbiosis Institute of Technology</b> <b>Hyderabad-509 217.</b>